µSR 2011, Cancun

# BNMR Search for Magnetic Phase Separation in GaAs:Mn

#### W.A. MacFarlane

Chemistry Department University of British Columbia, Vancouver







## <sup>8</sup>Li beta NMR differences

- Lifetime  $\tau = 1.21$  seconds (~1,000,000× $\mu^+$ )
- S = 2, Q = +31.4 mb
- beta Energy ~ 6 MeV
- Low energy (< 30 keV) no "muon counter"
- ~2 weeks of beam per year  $\otimes$

# Mn doped GaAs, a magnetic semiconductor





Mn acceptor (STM) Yakunin et al. PRL **92**, 216806 (04)

Substitutional (Ga): Acceptor Interstitial: Double Donor

 $Ga_{1-x}Mn_xAs$  is not stable in bulk

Ga<sub>1-x</sub>Mn<sub>x</sub>As a dilute magnetic semiconductor



## Dilute Magnetic Semiconductors



## Mn doped GaAs



## 180 nm thick 5.4% Mn, Tc ~ 72 K \ An alloy, metallic

less impedance mismatch than with a metallic ferromagnet like Fe

Sample: J.K. Furdyna, Notre Dame

# <sup>8</sup>Li resonance in 180 nm Ga<sub>0.95</sub>Mn<sub>0.05</sub>As / GaAs



Sharp Substrate Line

#### LEµSR evidence of phase separation



Storchak et al., PRL 101, 027202 (08)

#### <u>βNMResonance</u>



#### <u>βNMResonance</u>



## <sup>8</sup>Li Resonances in Bare GaAs



#### Depth Dependence at 50 K (< T<sub>C</sub>) Q. Song et al., Physica B (2009)

![](_page_12_Figure_1.jpeg)

broad, negatively shifted line, fast spin relaxation associated with the Mn doped layer

#### **Temperature Dependence**

![](_page_13_Figure_1.jpeg)

Pulsed rf resonances

Q. Song et al., submitted to PRB

### Summary of the T dependence

![](_page_14_Figure_1.jpeg)

Q. Song et al., submitted to PRB

#### CW resonances at 28 keV

![](_page_15_Figure_1.jpeg)

<sup>8</sup>Li in GaAs substrate

## Temperature dependence of $T_1$

![](_page_16_Figure_1.jpeg)

Q. Song et al., submitted to PRB

#### CW resonances at 8 keV: <sup>8</sup>Li in the GaAs:Mn

![](_page_17_Figure_1.jpeg)

#### LEmuSR: nonrelaxing Signal is Background

![](_page_18_Figure_1.jpeg)

Dunsiger et al., Nature Materials 9, 299 (10)

#### Pulsed Spectra Freq. Integrated Amplitudes

![](_page_19_Figure_1.jpeg)

#### T<sub>1</sub> Scaled Amplitudes

![](_page_20_Figure_1.jpeg)

# Summary

- BNMR surprise: a signal in a disordered magnet!
- Volume fractions not as direct as µSR but estimates possible
- No evidence for magnetic phase separation in this GaMn<sub>0.054</sub>As
- Spin injection: from Fe? from CP light?

bnmr.triumf.ca

## Acknowledgments

R.F. Kiefl, T. Keeler, M.D. Hossain, W. Dong, H. Saadaoui,
Q. Song (UBC Physics), T.J. Parolin, (UBC Chemistry),
Z. Salman\*, G.D. Morris, R.I. Miller (TRIUMF),
K.H. Chow, I. Fan, A. Mansour (Alberta, Physics),
S.R. Dunsiger (TUMunich)

#### SAMPLES:

J.K. Furdyna, X. Liu (Notre Dame), K.M. Yu (Berkeley)

#### \*now at PSI

#### At TRIUMF:

Polarizer: C.D.P. Levy, M. Pearson, A. Hatakeyama (Tokyo) RF: S.R. Kreitzman

### Contributions to the Local Field

![](_page_23_Picture_1.jpeg)

# $B = B_0 + B_{demag} + B_{Lor} + B_{loc}$ $= B_0 - 4\pi M + (4\pi/3)M + B_{loc}$

SQUID Magnetization in 1.3 T

![](_page_24_Figure_1.jpeg)

#### **Clogston Jaccarino Analysis** 100 B<sub>loc</sub> (G) a) The local field 0 0 $\mathsf{T}_{c}$ b) (Ð) -10 ∀B raw resonance shift -20 10 σ<sub>Mn</sub> (kHz) C) linewidth 5 0 5 10 15 20 0 Magnetization (emu/cm<sup>3</sup>)

Q. Song et al., submitted to PRB